Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/711,549	KUMER, GOPESH	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANTHONY MEJIA	2451	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>71</u>		
(1) <u>ANTHONY MEJIA</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>MATTHEW T. WELKER</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 7 June 2010	Time: <u>N/A</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: 1-20			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since th	substance
/A.M./ Patent Examiner Art Unit 2451			
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if app	propriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted assigned attotney to ask for the clarification of the term "Agent" that is being used througout the currently pending Claims 1-20 and now in the Remarks presented on 03 March 2010. Attorney simply directed Examiner to para [0014] of the Specification. Examiner advised attorney that the broadest and reasonable interpretation will be giving to the term "Agent"as used in the Claims, in next response.